

FAQ

Description

Frequently asked questions about bioresonance

Can bioresonance replace conventional medicine?

The simple answer is NO! and properly trained bioresonance treatment therapists would never encourage a patient to disregard professional medical advice. However, the two can complement each other.

Let us take the example of allergies. They are challenging and the methods of treating them with conventional medicine often give highly unsatisfactory results. Conventional medicine's allergy treatment is currently just a case of treating the symptoms and avoiding the allergen. Avoiding all allergens is not always possible so methods of identifying the root cause and treating it effectively should be encouraged. If there was just the slightest suspicion that new therapeutic approaches could bring relief, ethically oriented research should jump upon them with scientific enthusiasm. It would take very little time to determine whether the new method was a waste of time or whether something genuinely valuable lay behind it. Allergies affect millions of people so why aren't bioresonance treatment and other alternative therapies to treat disease being researched much by the scientific community?

Who are the authorities in the UK that determine what is scientifically recognized or not?

The universities and the courts. Universities are traditionally the centers of modern scientific research. Research is costly and becoming ever more so. The funding that universities receive from the state for research work has been inadequate for some time. Consequently, sponsors are needed. Research work is commissioned and paid for by the pharmaceutical industry and other sectors of industry. University professors responsible for the research can also boost their salaries by this means. We, therefore, wonder how objective and neutral research is today. You would also expect that careful study design would always produce the same or similar results. Yet often do we read studies of the same topic with totally different, even contradictory results! There is little interest in alternative methods which are not backed by big investors. Professors who tackle these subjects have to fear of their jobs in certain circumstances.

As experts in their field, university professors are the highest authority for legal opinions. In case of doubt the judges decide, based on their opinions, what the scientifically accepted standard is and what

is accepted by conventional medicine).

There are plenty of examples from the past of how “official” conventional medicine has held up progress. Just think of the recommended hygiene procedures to prevent childbed fever and the discovery of penicillin. Ultimately, the right methods have gained acceptance but it takes time. As physicist Max Planck said, “Not only the professors, but their students, need to die out for a new scientific idea to gain acceptance.” But we don’t have time to wait for that!

The pressure for new developments no longer comes from above (science and politics) but from below (general public). The studies to recognise acupuncture as a disease treatment were not proposed by the universities. The pressure from patients and therapists became so great that it was no longer possible to avoid tackling the subject. It took nearly 40 years though.

It is not surprising if a relatively new method (although it has already been in existence over 35 years) is not exactly welcomed with open arms, especially if it means the scientific world view will have to be corrected (once more). People initially fight against every new idea since they don’t want to move away from the long-standing structures. When fighting no longer works, they simply ignore the method including all the success stories and studies associated with it.

Sometimes it is the opponents who involuntarily help a method gain recognition. For example, a certain admonition association instituted legal proceedings so that, like it or not, the courts had to tackle the subject of bioresonance and its effect on health. Following a five year legal battle and based on the situation as regards clinical trials, the Munich higher regional court has now officially allowed the claim “the bioresonance method can diagnose and effectively treat allergies” to be used in advertising.

What side-effects are observed with bioresonance?

Bioresonance is a regulatory method of treatment, similar to homoeopathy, acupuncture and physiotherapy. Medication triggers biochemical processes in the body at the material level of the body’s cells. The effects on the various organs are mostly well-known, show in test results, and are reproducible biochemically. It is possible to distinguish between the desired therapeutic effects and the adverse side effects of a medication.

With methods of regulatory therapy such as bioresonance, the body is given an impulse to which it should react. The right impulse triggers a chain of biochemical reactions in the body which activate the organism’s powers of self healing to take countermeasures against the root cause of the disorder. An incorrect impulse has no or minimal effect. Consequently, if using the bioresonance method properly, it is difficult to do something harmful to the patient’s health.

Side effects in the conventional medical sense have so far not been observed by bioresonance therapists. Adverse reactions following therapy may be caused by the initial exacerbation of primary immune responses and the response of unhealthy cells to the treatment. They are encountered in all methods of regulatory therapy.

An initial exacerbation is generally a sign that the therapy impulse was the right one but the strength of the impulse was too intense. This leads to a temporary worsening of the symptoms, such as intensification of the pain sensitivity or the skin eczema. It can also happen that old symptoms from the

past, from which the patient had apparently recovered, reappear. Sometimes teeth that were chronically inflamed yet previously displayed no symptoms, also make their presence felt. If old foci flare up, this can give therapists important clues about hidden therapy blocks.

Reactions such as this in which the patient's condition deteriorates usually subside within one or two days yet may last longer in individual cases. At the next treatment session, the therapist will adapt the therapy process according to the individual patient's responsiveness. Constant over-treatments can, in the worst case, lead to reaction blocks but even these can generally be overcome with treatment to restore the energy balance.

It is not unusual, therefore, for the symptoms of chronic disorders not to improve continuously but rather for the patient's condition to fluctuate somewhat at the start of the treatment. This is not evidence of failure. The therapist and the clients may need to be patient at this stage until resolution is complete.

Patients with autoimmune disorders should be treated with care as they often have a tendency to have hyper-intensive reactions. Patients should not be given bioresonance therapy during the first three months of pregnancy.

If a patient who is known to have violent reactions, e.g. asthma attacks or shock reactions, is treated for allergy, any exposure test should only be conducted under clinical conditions with emergency equipment available. No test, either conventional medical or energetic allergy test, can predict with a 100% certainty whether exposure to the allergen will cause a reaction in the patient or not. Emergency medication should continue to be carried as a precaution.

Does bioresonance therapy help everyone and with all disorders?

There is no medical method in the world which will help everyone. Man is not a machine which runs according to technically reproducible rules. Every person is an individual and disease should be regarded as a largely multifactorial product of physical, psychological and social circumstances. Destroyed or missing organs and tissues cannot be restored by any method, not even bioresonance. Deficient enzymes, vitamins and nutrients must also be physically supplied. Applying biophysical information of foods to a patient's bodily systems will not satisfy their hunger! However, provided responsive tissue is still present, an improvement can often be brought about in the patient's condition through bioresonance. Oscillation patterns, which are superior to matter, will often produce an effect where chemical substances are no longer effective at the physical level.

Severe psychological trauma cannot be treated with bioresonance either. A difficult childhood, abuse, traumatic events and chronic frustration can cause or encourage illness or impede its treatment. The bioresonance device cannot change mother-in-laws or partners! There are also patients who derived benefit from their illness, usually subconsciously. While they are ill, they are guaranteed the affection and care of their partner and family. Or they have a reason not to have to go to work. There are patients who consult one therapist after another and try everything to continually receive confirmation that they are incurably ill. When they feel that they are getting better, the therapy process is discontinued with often-flimsy excuses. Patients who've applied for sickness related benefits are often untreatable.

You may have noticed through the content of this website that the word "cure"™ is never used. Bioresonance can relieve the symptoms of many medical conditions or even make them disappear. Whether the patient is completely cured depends largely upon his mental attitude to the disorder and other psychological and social factors. Many therapists combine the bioresonance method with other conventional medical and naturopathic methods of therapy. A sensitive therapeutic discussion can often work wonders!

Experienced bioresonance allergy therapists report an 80 to 90% success rate, depending on the patient group. One patient in nine or ten unfortunately cannot be helped. Every practice has its share of failures. All therapists must learn to deal with this.

The reason for therapy failing may be an undiscovered or untreated physical or psychological therapy block. Or perhaps the right allergen or toxin that is responsible hasn't been found? Or it may be that the therapist is not sufficiently experienced or may not have received sufficient training. We should not be afraid of referring the patient to another therapist for advice.

To sum up, bioresonance therapy is an effective method of treatment that provides relief and can help restore the patient's quality of life.

Date Created

2016/04/04

Author

davidfranklin